

TROPICAL AGRICULTURAL SCIENCE

Journal homepage: http://www.pertanika.upm.edu.my/

Review Article

A Review Article of Biological Pre-Treatment of Agricultural Biomass

Obeng Abraham Kusi¹, Duangporn Premjet² and Siripong Premjet^{1*}

¹Department of Biology, Faculty of Science, Naresuan University, Muang, Phitsanulok 65000, Thailand ²Center for Agricultural Biotechnology, Faculty of Agriculture, Natural Resources and Environment, Naresuan University, Muang, Phitsanulok 65000, Thailand

ABSTRACT

Pre-treatment is a key step in the production of bioethanol from lignocellulosic biomass. Current pre-treatment techniques including physical, chemical and physico-chemical methods may increase the cost of production and produce inhibitors. In addition, they are not environmentally friendly. On the other hand, biological pre-treatment is mild, less costly, eco-friendly and consumes less energy. Despite all these benefits, several factors affect the biological pre-treatment process including microbial strain, the culture and environmental conditions as well as the type of lignocellulose material. To overcome these setbacks, different forms of biological pre-treatments such as microbial and ligninolytic enzyme pre-treatments as well as processes are studied. This review presents an overview of different forms of biological pre-treatment, various processes carried out with the aim of enhancing delignification and drawbacks of this pre-treatment process.

Keywords: Agricultural residues, biological pre-treatment, fungi, lignocellulosic biomass, ligninolytic enzymes

INTRODUCTION

Growing demand for energy worldwide is negatively affecting our environment

ARTICLE INFO

 Article history:

 Received: 30 May 2016

 Accepted: 14 August 2017

 E-mail addresses:

 aobeng@uds.edu.gh (Obeng Abraham Kusi),

 duangpornp@nu.ac.th (Duangporn Premjet),

 siripongp@nu.ac.th (Siripong Premjet)

 * Corresponding author

due to the rise in fossil fuel combustion (Larran et al., 2015). The negative effects of fossil fuel combustion coupled with concerns about energy security, especially in growing economies, have resulted in the search for new sources of energy supply (Arora et al., 2016). Among the alternative sources of energy available, bioethanol produced from biomass has the potential to reduce dependence on petroleum products (Lopez-Abelairas et al., 2013a). Different types of biomass can be used for bioethanol production (Oke et al., 2016). Crops such as corn, sugar beets, sorghum and sugar cane are being used for the production of bioethanol (Lemée et al., 2012). However, the use of food crops to produce bioethanol contributes to high food prices globally (Larran et al., 2015).

Lignocellulosic biomass, a non-food source, can be transformed into useful products such as bioethanol, methane or other important chemicals (Cianchetta et al., 2014). Lignocellulosic biomass is rich in energy, less expensive and abundant all over the world (Okeke et al., 2015). This biomass comprises residue from crops and forest products that are not used. They are essentially made up of lignin, hemicellulose and cellulose (Horisawa et al., 2015) and are a good source for bioethanol production (Castoldi et al., 2014). However, access to the sugar component of lignocellulosic biomass is the major problem of bioethanol production from such materials (Garcia-Torreiro et al., 2016). The presence of lignin serves as a protective cover preventing enzymatic access to the hemicellulose and cellulose (Castoldi et al., 2014). A suitable pre-treatment technique which is able to eliminate and/or reduce or alter the lignin component; exposing the cellulose and hemicellulose for degradation and fermentation is, therefore, very important (Ma & Ruan, 2015).

Pre-treatment of lignocellulosic biomass can be physical, chemical, biological or a combination of these (Larran et al., 2015). Physico-chemical pre-treatment techniques, although effective, may produce substances that may impede sugar hydrolysis and the fermentation process (Salvachúa et al., 2013). These techniques are also costly and may not be environmentally friendly (Asgher et al., 2016). Biological pre-treatment is more eco-friendly and requires less energy as well as mild conditions (Garcia-Torreiro et al., 2016). It is a promising alternative to non-environmentally friendly physical and chemical pre-treatment methods (Arora et al., 2016). This review sought to discuss different forms of biological pre-treatment, its various processes carried out with the aim of enhancing delignification and drawbacks of this pre-treatment technique.

AGRICULTURAL BIOMASS

Different stages in crop production generate materials that may be used as feedstock for bioethanol production (Serna et al., 2016). Biomass from crop cultivation is rich in energy, less expensive (Okeke et al., 2015) and abundant in supply all over the world (Placido et al., 2013). The cell wall of plants is made up of a network of polysaccharides, structural proteins and phenolic compounds (Jenkins, 2014) that make the cell wall rich in chemicals and fermentable sugars for biofuel production (Guerriero et al., 2016). Although agricultural biomass has the same major components, there is variation in its composition from one species to another (Galbe & Zacchi, 2012).

The sustainable availability of agricultural residue is very important in the quest to increase the production and

utilisation of bioethanol as an alternative to fossil fuel (Cardoen et al., 2015). Searle and Malins (2016) reported that majority of European Union (EU) member states will have more than enough feedstock in 2020 to meet the directive of 0.5% advanced biofuel blending targets for transportation. Among the EU member states, France and Germany are the largest producers of agricultural residue. Cardoen et al. (2015) stated that 611 Mton/year of agricultural field residue is generated in India, out of which 158 Mtons (25%) are deemed to be surplus. Out of this surplus agricultural residue, 41 Mton/year are from sugarcane bagasse, 28 Mton/year from paddy straw, 21 Mton/year from wheat straw and 19 Mton/year from cotton stalk. Gao et al. (2016) reported that the overall agricultural residue in China is 10,818 PJ, out of which 8,419 PJ are available for the production of energy. The energy potential of rice residue (2,418 PJ), corn residue (2,334 PJ) and wheat straw (1,232 PJ) makes up 71% of the overall available energy supply. Rice husk, corn cob, sugarcane bagasse and peanut hull make up about 12% to 15% of the total available agricultural residue in China. Saini et al. (2015) indicated that worldwide production of the main agricultural residue is 354.34 million tons of wheat straw, 731.3 million tons of rice straw, 128.02 million tons of corn straw and 180.73 million tons of sugarcane bagasse. The largest amount of rice and wheat straw are produced in Asia, while corn straw and sugarcane bagasse are generally from America. Research into

the effective utilisation of lignocellulose materials from agricultural biomass is on the increase (Wang et al., 2013). Different types of agricultural biomass including wheat straw, corn stover, corn cob, banana stalk and sugar cane bagasse have been biologically pre-treated for bioethanol production (Table 1 and 3).

PHYSICAL AND CHEMICAL PRE-TREATMENT

Physical, chemical and physico-chemical methods have been used to pre-treat lignocellulose materials (Maurya et al., 2015). Methods including milling, chipping, grinding and/or irradiation (gamma rays and electron beam) have all been applied to pretreat lignocellulose material. However, these processes demand high energy, making them very expensive (Zhu, 2011).

Chemical pre-treatment is the most extensively used method. Chemicals such as acids, bases, ionic liquids and organic solvents are used for pre-treatment of lignocellulose materials (Aver et al., 2014). Sulphuric, nitric, hydrochloric and phosphoric acids have all been used (Nieves et al., 2016). However, high concentration of these acids is dangerous and corrosive (Kristiani et al., 2013). Partial hydrolysis of the cellulose and hemicellulose components (He et al., 2014) as well as production of inhibitors, including furfural derivatives, acetic acid, phenolic and other aromatic compounds, may also occur (Kim et al., 2016). Dilute phosphoric acid has been

reported as less corrosive and toxic compared to dilute sulphuric acid (Siripong et al., 2016), although very expensive (Nair et al., 2015). Organic solvents such as methanol, ethanol, acetone, ethylene, glycerol, acetic acid, glycols or phenols are also sometimes used to chemically pre-treat lignocellulose material (Hideno et al., 2013). Organic acids including maleic, oxalic, fumeric and citric acids are also used for pre-treatment (Lewandowska et al., 2016). Ionic liquids produce less inhibitory compounds but are expensive and can be toxic to hydrolytic enzymes (Ninomiya et al., 2013). Alkaline solutions including sodium, potassium or ammonium hydroxides can also break the bonds linking lignin to carbohydrates (Steffien et al., 2014).

Combinations of both physical and chemical methods have also been reported as an effective pre-treatment method. Steam explosion, hydrothermolysis, wet oxidation and ammonia fibre explosion (AFEX) are all types of physico-chemical pre-treatment methods. Steam explosion may produce inhibitors, while hydrothermolysis and wet oxidation requires consumption of large amount of energy. AFEX pre-treatment, however, is not suitable for biomass containing high lignin content (Galbe & Zacchi, 2012). Generally, physical, chemical and/or physico-chemical techniques may require special equipment and machines as well as harsh conditions, and this normally results in high energy demand and production of inhibitors that impede enzyme hydrolysis and fermentation processes.

BIOLOGICAL PRE-TREATMENT

Biological pre-treatment makes use of either microorganisms or the enzymes they produce to break down the lignin content of lignocellulose material (Ishola et al., 2014). Biological pre-treatment should be able to significantly reduce carbohydrate loss. It is, therefore, very important to choose a microorganism with high delignification potential but with less ability to break down cellulose during the pre-treatment process (Lopez-Abelairas et al., 2013b).

Microbial Pre-Treatment

A number of microorganisms such as bacteria, fungi and actinomycetes have the ability to reduce the lignin content in lignocellulose materials (Ma & Ruan, 2015). However, the ability of a microorganism to degrade only lignin is very important in preventing the loss of cellulose during the pre-treatment process. The best combination is a microorganism that will degrade low amounts of sugar and high amounts of lignin within the shortest possible time (Garcia-Torreiro et al., 2016).

Fungi are very important in the biological pre-treatment process as they are able to produce ligninolytic enzymes to break down lignin (Ghorbani et al., 2015). Lignin-degrading basidiomycetes (whiterot fungi) are the major decomposers in the forest ecosystem (Kamei et al., 2012) and are the most widely used microorganisms for biological pre-treatment of lignocellulose

materials (Pinto et al., 2012). The widespread use of white-rot fungi is due to their ability to produce high levels of ligninolytic enzymes (Cianchetta et al., 2014). Unlike other fungal groups, white-rot fungi are able to degrade all the major components (lignin, hemicellulose and cellulose) of lignocellulose materials. Some white-rot fungi may degrade lignin, hemicellulose and cellulose at the same time. However, others will selectively degrade lignin over the other components (Hatakka & Hammel, 2010). The degradation rate of white-rot fungi differs from one species to another (Castoldi et al., 2014). Although the major components of lignocellulose materials are the same, different fungi will act differently when cultured on these materials (Garcia-Torreiro et al., 2016). A number of white-rot fungi have been successfully used to reduce the lignin content of different lignocellulose materials (Table 1). Microscopic analysis of biologically pre-treated Eucalyptus grandis sawdust by Castoldi et al. (2014) revealed extreme changes in the structure of pre-treated sawdust, including separation of fibre and pore formation in much of the surface of the cell wall, compared to untreated sawdust. These changes were reported to be clearly related to the growth of the fungi studied. Pores formed in material pre-treated with Pleurotus pulmonarius, Trametes sp. and Ganoderma lucidum were more visible compared to the others although pre-treatment was done under the same conditions. This resulted in differences in total cellulose composition after pretreatment (Table 1). Variations in growth and

degradation rates of the fungi were stated as the possible cause for the structural and total cellulose differences observed (Castoldi et al., 2014). Similarly, Lopez-Abelairas et al. (2013) reported different sugar yields of $46 \pm 2\%$ and $65 \pm 2\%$ after pre-treating wheat straw with Pleurotus ervnii and Irpex lacteus, respectively for 21 days under the same optimised conditions. Saha et al. (2016) observed great variations in the rate of delignification (1.5 \pm 0.0% to 51.4 \pm 2.9%) of corn stover by various fungi under the same pre-treament conditions of 74% moisture level and 28°C temperature for 30 days (Table 1). Wang et al. (2014) also reported variations in delignification rate for Trametes velutina and Trametes orientalis after pre-treating Populus tomentosa with the two microorganisms for 12 weeks to enhance enzyme hydrolysis (Table 1).

Pre-treatment of corn stalk with Phanerochaete chrysosporium (Zhao et al., 2012) and Pleurotus ostreatus (Saha et al., 2016) under different conditions resulted in differences (35.3% and 54.7%, respectively) in the rate of delignification between the two processes. These differences may be attributed to the fungi variation and/or differences in the pre-treatment conditions. Environmental as well as nutritional conditions greatly affect microbial growth and hence, delignification. An effective lignin degrading microorganism and an efficient process of culturing are very important for ensuring high rate of delignification (Chang et al., 2014). Saha et al. (2016) reported that under optimum culture conditions of 84% moisture, 28°C

temperature and 42 days of incubation, Phlebia brevispora was able to increase the sugar yield of corn stover by 15.4% after pre-treatment. The metabolic activities of microorganisms may be influenced by the type of substrate they are cultured on. The composition of agricultural residues may vary from one species to the other (Galbe & Zacchi, 2012). Irpex lacteus pre-treatment of different agriculture residue under the same conditions resulted in differences in the rate of delignification among the various residues (Table 1). This was attributed to variations in the structure of agricultural residues with respect to species, tissue, origin and growth period (Garcia-Torreiro et al., 2016).

Brown-rot fungi have the ability to degrade wood. However, brown-rot fungi degrades mainly the hemicellulose and cellulose components of lignocellulose materials, leaving behind a chemicallymodified lignin residue. They are able to degrade hemicellulose and cellulose without the removal of lignin, or remove only a very small part of it. Their ability to degrade both hemicellulose and cellulose without lignin removal has been attributed to both oxidative and hydrolytic attacks. It has been suggested that the oxidative nonenzymatic attack by these fungi is through the use of low molecular weight chemicals that are able to diffuse and degrade cellulose (Hatakka & Hammel, 2010). Several brownrot fungi produce low molecular weight chemicals, including hydrogen peroxide and oxalic acid, which are used during the nonenzymatic degradation process (Schilling et al., 2012). Schilling et al. (2012) reported the use of the brown-rot fungi *Gloeophyllum trabeum* and *Postia placenta* to break down aspen, spruce or corn stover for a period of 16 weeks before saccharification with enzymes. Generally, pre-treatment with the brown-rot fungi increased sugar yield threefold. *Gloeophyllum trabeum* pretreatment of aspen for two weeks provided the best yield i.e. 72% glucose.

Ascomycetes and mitosporic fungi are responsible for soft-rot decay in wood. These soft-rot fungi predominantly degrade carbohydrates in lignocellulose material, causing extensive reduction in the carbohydrate content. Some soft-rot fungi can, however, partly degrade lignin. Compared to the white-rot fungi, not much study has been done on the degradation of lignocellulose material by soft-rot fungi (Hatakka & Hammel, 2010).

Certain bacteria have been effectively used to pre-treat lignocellulose material. The bacteria Bacillus sp. isolated from forest soil in Japan have been used to degrade alkali lignin. Initial concentrations of 0.05-2.0 g/l cell culture were able to degrade not less than 61% alkali lignin within 48 h. Pre-treament of rice straw by Bacillus sp. also resulted in 20% degradation of Klason lignin, with 3.2% cellulose degradation (Chang et al., 2014). Pourcher and Peu (2016) isolated and identified five strains of lignin-degrading bacteria from soil and sediments. The isolates included Serratia sp. JHT01, Serratia liquefacien PT01, Stenotrophomonas maltophilia PT03, Mesorhizobium sp. PT04 and Pseudomonas

chlororaphis PT02. All the isolates were able to significantly grow and degrade lignin. Pre-treatment of rice straw with lactic acid bacteria produced a total sugar concentration of 30% compared to 16% for untreated rice straw (Chang et al., 2014).

Unlike white-rot fungi, pre-treatment with actinomycete results in the easy recovery of degraded lignin. Saritha et al. (2013) reported the use of *Streptomyces* griseorubens ssr 38 for degradation of lignin in paddy straw. A large amount (25%) of acid-precipitable polymeric lignins (APPLs) was recovered from pre-treated paddy straw. Delignification helped to increase the carbohydrate content, and this resulted in an increase in saccharification efficiency (97.8%).

Table 1

Delignification effect of different microorganisms on Lignocellulose material

Organism	Substrate	Incubation Time (days)	Effect of Delignification	Reference
Phanerochaete chrysosporium Pleurotus ostreatus	Eucalyptus grandis	30	2.8% total cellulose	(Castoldi et al., 2014)
Pleurotus pulmonarius			16.7% total cellulose	
Trametes sp			15.4% total cellulose	
			10.1% total cellulose	
Irpex lacteus	Wheat straw	21	$42.3 \pm 2.3\%$ delignification	(Garcia- Torreiro et al., 2016)
Pleurotus eryngii (ATCC 90787)	Corn stover		$45.8 \pm 3.5\%$ delignification	(Lopez- Abelairas et al., 2013)
Irpex lacteus (Fr. 238 617/93)	Corn cob		$17.1 \pm 5.3\%$ delignification	
			$46 \pm 2\%$ sugar yield	
P. chrysosporium NRRL-6370	Wheat straw	21	$65 \pm 2\%$ sugar yield	(Saha et al.,
<i>P. sanguineus</i> NRRL- FP-103506	Corn stover	30	$51.4 \pm 2.9\%$ delignification	2016)
I. lacteus FP-101234			$51.0 \pm 1.2\%$ delignification	
C. stercoreus NRRL-6573			$46.7 \pm 1.8\%$ delignification	
P. compactus NRRL-A-2351			$46.2 \pm 0.8\%$ delignification	
P. brevispora NRRL-13108			$45.4 \pm 1.5\%$ delignification	
A. bisporus NRRL-20762			$39.6 \pm 1.6\%$ delignification	
C. cinereus NRRL-20638			$7.1 \pm 0.6\%$ delignification	
<i>B. fumosa</i> FP-135285-T			$6.6 \pm 0.8\%$ delignification	
F. velutipes NRRL-2367			$4.4 \pm 0.0\%$ delignification	
R. crocatus MJL-1465			$3.6 \pm 0.2\%$ delignification	
Panaeolus sp.FP-102035			$2.4 \pm 0.1\%$ delignification	

Table 1 (continue)

C. pannocincta FT-100624			$2.2 \pm 0.0\%$ delignification $1.5 \pm 0.0\%$ delignification	
Trametes orientalis	Populus tomentosa	84	47.3% delignification	(Wang et al., 2014)
Trametes velutina			58.1% delignification	
P. chrysosporium	Corn stalk	15	35.3% delignification	(Zhao et al., 2012)
Pleurotus ostreatus	Corn stalk	30	54.7% delignification	(Chen et al., 2016)
Phlebia brevispora NRRL- 13108	Corn stover	42	15.4% increase in sugar yield	(Saha et al., 2016)

Process of culturing microorganisms for delignification. For effective and efficient delignification, microbial growth and activities should be optimum. The culturing process as well as the environment should lead to optimum growth and activities. Understanding the behaviour of microorganisms and the conditions under which they grow best will help to optimise the conversion of lignocellulose material into bioethanol (Shi et al., 2014). Different culturing techniques and processes have been employed with the aim of optimising microbial growth and activities for enhancement of delignification.

Solid state fermentation (SSF) process has been reported to offer several benefits compared to submerged fermentation (SmF) including higher yields, lower cost, easy recovery of products and absence of froth in medium (Asgher et al., 2016). Pinto et al. (2012) reported that fungi pre-treatment under solid state fermentation resulted in higher saccharification compared to when using a liquid medium. Addition of a source of metabolic energy to the culture medium such as glucose was reported by Castoldi et al. (2014) to improve microbial growth. The glucose helped to decrease the breakdown of the carbohydrate content of lignocellulose materials. However, Ghorbani et al. (2015) reported that a lower amount of glucose supplement is more effective for improving the process of delignification compared to larger amounts. Surfactants have also been reported to enhance delignification. Ghorbani et al. (2015) revealed that addition of a surfactant (Tween 80) to the culture medium used in their study increased the rate of delignification from 31% to 42%. Salvachúa et al. (2013) reported that adding 0.3 mM Mn(II) increased glucose yield up to 68% compared to 62% and 33% for pre-treated biomass without Mn(II) and the non-treated biomass, respectively. Saha et al. (2016) indicated that enhancement of pre-treatment conditions including the incubation period (42 days) and moisture content (84%) led to a higher sugar yield of $442 \pm 5 \text{ mg/g from } 383 \pm 13 \text{ mg/g of } Phlebia$ brevispora NRRL-13018 pre-treated corn stover, an increase of 15.4%. Ghorbani et al. (2015) revealed that low biomass-to-liquid ratio increases delignification efficiency.

However, very low ratios result in a decrease in the efficiency of delignification. The optimum biomass-to-liquid ratio in their study was 0.041 g/L.

Naturally, different microorganisms form associations and depend on each other for growth and survival. On the other hand, the presence of some microorganisms might inhibit the growth and survival of others (Wang et al., 2014). Effective and efficient breakdown of lignin in lignocellulose materials may be achieved using consortia of microorganisms. Investigations into the biological pre-treatment of Napier grass by three different groups of microorganisms designated, WSD-5 (Coprinus cinereus and Ochrobactrum sp.), MC1 (thermophilic bacteria) and XDC-2 (mesophilic bacteria in the genera Clostridium, Bacteroides, Alcaligenes and Pseudomonas) revealed that the lignin degradation efficiency of all the microbial groups was above 30% after 21 days (Wen et al., 2015). However, the nutritional as well as environmental conditions might not be favourable to all the microorganisms carrying out the processes (Berlowska et al., 2016). Wang et al. (2014) reported that monoculture of Lenzites betulinus, Trametes orientalis and Trametes velutina generally showed higher delignification efficieny compared to their respective co-cultures. The poor performance of co-culturing in their study was attributed to the inability of these microorganisms to co-exist. After microscopic observation, it was revealed that the hyphae of different microbial species do not enter each other's zone. For

effective and efficient delignification, the best combination of microorganisms and biomass is very significant (Cianchetta et al., 2014). It is very important therefore to screen for species that can co-exist and support each other's growth.

Enzymatic Pre-Treatment

Biological pre-treatment with lignin degrading enzymes might help to prevent the loss of hemicellulose and cellulose that occurs during microbial pre-treatment processes (Wang et al., 2013). The use of ligninolytic enzymes is on the increase because of their ability to act on specific reactions (Chen et al., 2012). The enzyme set-up for delignification is determined by the type of microorganism, substrate as well as environmental conditions during culturing. Different microbial strains produce different types of these enzymes at varying rates (Ma & Ruan, 2015).

Ligninolytic enzymes. Different types of enzymes including laccases, peroxidases and oxidases that produce hydrogen peroxide are involved in the breakdown of lignin in lignocellulose materials. However, laccase (EC 1.10.3.2), manganese peroxidase (MnP, EC 1.11.1.13) and lignin peroxidase (LiP, EC 1.11.1.14) are the most widely used ligninolytic enzymes (Daâssi et al., 2016). The type of ligninolytic enzyme(s) responsible for delignification of a particular process is dependent on the fungi species, substrate and culture conditions. Among these enzymes, laccase is the most widely studied. Laccase is a copper-containing

enzyme that uses molecular oxygen as oxidant. Majority of white-rot fungi have the ability to synthesise laccase (Ma & Ruan, 2015). The production of laccase by whiterot fungi can be induced by adding copper, xenobiotic compounds or dyes. Laccase has the ability to degrade numerous compounds that have a phenolic structure because of its low substrate specificity. This has resulted in the use of laccase in a wide range of areas including biomass delignification and degradation of xenobiotic compounds (Wong, 2009). MnP and LiP are hemeproteins and they require hydrogen peroxide as an oxidant. They also have low substrate specificity, hence they are capable of oxidising various nonphenolic lignin model compounds as well as phenolic aromatic substrates. They were first identified in cultures of Phanerochaete chrysosporium. MnP is the most common lignin degrading peroxidase found in ligninolytic fungi (Hatakka & Hammel, 2010). Despite being a peroxidase, LiP is able to oxidise substrate at high redox potential (Wong, 2009).

Ligninolytic enzyme production. Inadequate production of ligninolytic enzymes by white-rot fungi has been reported as an important factor preventing the use of ligninolytic enzymes in biotechnology (Asgher et al., 2016). The slow activity of synthesised enzymes also hinders the commercial use of these biological agents for pre-treating lignocellulose material (Hyeon et al., 2014). Optimisation of the nutritional and environmental conditions of the production medium helps to increase enzyme production and activity. However, extreme nutritional and environmental conditions might inactivate enzymes, leading to a decrease in their activity (Asgher et al., 2016). Changing the fermentation medium or culture conditions can help to increase the production of these enzymes. Lignocellulose material containing large amount of lignin may be ideal in enhancing the production of ligninolytic enzymes (Rastogi et al., 2016). Mediators such as MnSO₄ also influence ligninolytic enzyme production by increasing the surface area for microbial growth (Asgher et al., 2016).

Different microorganisms have been used for the production of ligninolytic enzymes under different nutritional and environmental conditions (Table 2). Under optimum conditions of 50% moisture, 5 g substrate, pH 5.5, 30°C temperature, 2% fructose as carbon source, 0.02% yeast extract as nitrogen source, 25:1 carbonto-nitrogen ratio, and 5 ml fungal spore suspension for four days, Ganoderma lucidum produced higher MnP activity followed by LiP and laccase (Asgher et al., 2014). Asgber et al. (2016) reported that culturing Schizophyllum commune on rice straw under solid state fermentation recorded the highest ligninolytic enyme production after 144 hours. Saritha et al. (2012) revealed that Trametes hirsute cultured on Reese's mineral medium with 1% paddy straw as the sole carbon source in a submerged culture yielded higher activity of laccase compared to LiP and MnP after seven days. However, enzyme activities decreased with further incubation beyond

seven days. Ma and Ruan (2015) indicated that laccase was the key enzyme produced when Coprinus comatus was cultured in a liquid fermentation medium comprising agricultural waste materials. The highest activity of laccase was recorded on Day 6 (1520 U/ml). Cultivation of Trichoderma reesei, on the other hand, showed very low laccase activity (<10 U/ml) after seven days of incubation. Co-culturing of the two fungi, however, resulted in the highest laccase activity (2180 U/ml) after five days. Rastogi et al. (2016) cultivated Pyrenophora phaeocomes on different types of agricultural residue moistened with five parts of salt solution for the production of a ligno-hemicellulolytic enzyme cocktail. Wheat straw had the highest (25413.23 \pm

35.06 IU/gds) laccase activity compared to all the other material (Table 2). Production of ligninolytic enzymes can be significantly enhanced by changing the fermentation medium. The presence of lignin and/or lignin-related compounds in the substrate can activate the production of these enzymes (Mann et al., 2015). Culturing of Coridus versicolor CV-1 at 28°C to 30°C on a shaker at 150 rpm for seven days resulted in laccase, Lip and MnP activities of 2066 \pm 15, 0.21 \pm 0.05 and 0.25 \pm 0.03 U/ml, respectively. Phanerochaete chrysosporium PC-1 also cultured at 37°C to 39°C statically for a period of nine days yielded 0.54 ± 0.07 (LiP) and 20.52 ± 1.36 (MnP) U/ml enzyme activity (Wang et al., 2013).

Table 2

Microbial production	of	Ligninolytic	enzymes	under	different	conditions
----------------------	----	--------------	---------	-------	-----------	------------

Microorganism	Amt. of Culture	Substrate	Incubation Time (Days)	Ligninolytic Enzyme	Enzyme Activity	Reference
Ganoderma lucidum	5 ml	Wheat straw	4	LiP	$532 \pm 4.2 \text{ U/ml}$	(Asgher et al., 2014)
				MnP	$882\pm13.3 \text{ U/ml}$	
				Laccase	$340\pm6.4~U/ml$	
Schizophyllum commune IBL-06	4 ml	Rice straw	6	LiP	1347.2 U/gds	(Asgher et al., 2016)
				MnP	1846.7 U/gds	
				Laccase	316.28 U/gds	
Coprinus comatus	5 ml	LEPBM	6	LiP	1347.2 U/gds	(Ma & Ruan, 2015)
				MnP	1846.7 U/gds	
				Laccase	316.28 U/gds	
Trichoderma reesei	5 ml	LEPBM	7	Lip	0 U/ml	
				MnP	0 U/ml	
				Laccase	1520 U/ml	

Pertanika J. Trop. Agric. Sci. 41 (1): 19 - 40 (2018)

Table 2 (continue)

Microorganism	Amt. of Culture	Substrate	Incubation Time (Days)	Ligninolytic Enzyme	Enzyme Activity	Reference
C. comatus & T. reesei (1:1)	7 ml	LEPBM	5	Lip	0 U/ml	
				MnP	0 U/ml	
				Laccase	<10 U/ml	
				Lip	0 U/ml	
				MnP	0 U/ml	
				Laccase	2180 U/ml	
Pyrenophora phaeocomes S-1	5 dics (7 mm)	Wheat straw	4	LiP	$\begin{array}{c} 25413.23 \pm 35.06 \; IU / \\ gds \end{array}$	(Rastogi et al., 2016)
		Corn stover		MnP	22305.79 ± 128.55 IU/gds	
		Dried grasses Rice straw		laccase	$\begin{array}{l} 16669.42 \pm 3.00 \ IU \\ gds \\ 10859.51 \pm 46.74 \ IU \\ \end{array}$	
					gds	
Phanerochaete chrysosporium PC-1	3 loops of spores	Peroxidase medium	9	LiP	$0.54\pm0.07~U/ml$	(Wang et al., 2013)
				MnP	$20.52\pm1.36~\text{U/ml}$	
				Laccase	0 U/ml	
<i>Coridus</i> <i>versicolor</i> CV-1	3 dics (12 mm)	Laccase medium	7	LiP	$0.21\pm0.05~U/ml$	
				MnP	$0.25\pm0.03~\text{U/ml}$	
				Laccase	2066 ± 15 U/ml	
Trametes hirsute	5 discs (6 mm)	Reese's medium	7	LiP	$35.2\pm0.86~IU/ml$	(Saritha et al., 2012)
				MnP	$6.67\pm0.69~IU/ml$	
				Laccase	$57.9 \pm 1.08 \text{ IU/ml}$	

Note: Lignocellulolytic enzyme production basal medium (LEPBM)

Delignification of lignocellulose material with enzymes. Compared to purified ligninolytic enzymes, crude ligninolytic enzymes used for delignification offer several benefits in the existence of factors such as protein and mediators in the medium that helps to improve the activities of the enzyme (Asgher et al., 2016). The amount of crude enzyme extract as well as length of pre-treatment can affect the process of delignification. Different ligninolytic enzymes or combinations of enzymes play a key role in the process of delignification depending on the type of microorganism they are produced from (Ma & Ruan, 2015).

Various studies have been conducted on delignification of lignocellulose materials from agricultural residus using crude enzyme extract (Table 3). The lignin content of wheat straw was decreased by 39.6% after pre-treatment with 25 ml of ligninolytic enzyme extract (Asgher et al., 2014). Asgher et al. (2016) reported a significant reduction (72.3%) in the lignin content of sugarcane bagasse after pre-treatment of different agricultural residue under the same conditions with 25 ml of crude ligninolytic enzyme extract from Schizophyllum commune for 48 h. Delignification rates in the other residue were also encouraging (Table 3). The differences in the rate of delignification may be attributed to variations in the structure and composition of the various agricultural residue. After ligninolytic enzyme pretreatment of sugarcane bagasse for 48 hours at 35°C, maximum delignification of 33.5% was recorded with 25 ml enzyme extract (Asgher et al., 2013). As stated earlier, different microorganisms will produce different types of ligninolytic enzymes (Ma & Ruan, 2015). Crude ligninolytic enzymes from co-culturing of different microorganisms may enhance the delignification process. Delignification of corn stover with crude enzyme extract from the co-culturing of Coprinus comatus and T. reesei resulted in the highest lignin removal (45.1%) compared to the extract from monocultures of C. comatus and T.

reesei after 72 h (Ma & Ruan, 2015). Using complex enzyme systems is also another way of biologically breaking down the lignin component in lignocellilosic biomass. Hyeon et al. (2014) genetically engineered an efficient laccase complex by combining the laccase CueO of E. coli and the dockerin domain of a cellulosome system. The system was then fused with the scaffoldin miniCbpA to form a laccase-miniCbpA complex, which was used to pre-treat barley straw. The pre-treated barley straw was effectively fermented by cellulase, allowing S. cerevisiae to produce 2.34 g/L ethanol after 72 h, 2.1-fold higher than without laccase complexes.

CHALLENGES IN BIOLOGICAL PRE-TREATMENT

Although biological pre-treatment yields less waste and uses small amount of energy in addition to being eco-friendly, there are major setbacks hindering the success of this technique (Aver et al., 2014). Several factors affect the biological pre-treatment process, including the microbial strain, culture and environmental conditions as well as the type of lignocellulose material (Gai et al., 2014). Biological pre-treatment has been described as a very slow process that needs an aseptic environment. Problems associated with contamination can hinder the biological pre-treatment process; hence, the need for aseptic conditions. It requires a longer incubation time, normally several weeks to months. Various microbial strains differ with the rate at which they are able to carry out the delignification process (Tian et al., 2012). Insufficient ligninolytic enzyme production by white-rot fungi is a major problem contributing to the long incubation time (Asgher et al., 2016). In addition, the slow activities of enzymes produced prolong the delignification process (Hyeon et al., 2014). Biological pre-treatment may produce low sugar yield as some fungi break down and utilise sugars from cellulose (Larran et al., 2015). Chen et al. (2012) reported that microbial pre-treatment may result in significant loss in the amount of dry matter. Furthermore, the large amount of space required for this process makes it industrially unfeasible.

Optimisation of biological pre-treatment processes can, however, help to increase the microbial and enzymatic activities. Culture conditions such as incubation time, pH and temperature should be varied to obtain the optimum conditions for microbial growth. This will help to enhance the growth and metabolic activities of ligninolytic fungi. Supplementing the culture medium with sources of carbon and nitrogen in addition to mediators, can also stimulate enzymatic activities and improve yield (Castoldi et al., 2014). Carbon (glucose, starch, molasses) and nitrogen (peptone, yeast extract, urea) sources as well as mediatiors such as veratryl alcohol, oxalate, hydrogen peroxide and manganese (II) sulphate can be added to the culture medium (Asgher et al., 2016).

Various ligninolytic fungi species have been studied and recommended for the production of ligninolytic enzymes (Duangporn & Siripong, 2015). *P. chrysosporium* is an efficient producer of LiP and MnP, while *Phlebia radiate* secrets laccase, LiP and MnP. *Ceriporiopsis* subvermispora, *Phlebia tremelosa, Phellinus* pini and *Pleurotus ostreatus* have all been reported as having high delignification efficiency (Hatakka & Hammel, 2010). Under optimum culture conditions and with a suitable substrate, these fungi species can effectively degrade lignin. Isolation and study of new ligninolytic fungi species from the natural environment will also help to solve the problems associated with biological pre-treatment.

Ligninolytic enzyme production can be induced by the presence of a wide range of substrates. Choosing a suitable substrate is, therefore, very important to ensure efficient production of ligninolytic enzymes. Lignocellulose materials containing a large amount of lignin may be ideal for the production of ligninolytic enzymes (Rastogi et al., 2016). Agricultural, forestry and agro-industrial wastes are all potential substrates for the production of ligninolytic enzymes. Spent mushroom substrate (SMS) is an organic medium obtained from the cultivation of mushrooms. It is made up of agricultural residue and mushroom mycelium that remains after harvesting of mushrooms. It is very rich in extracellular hydrolytic and oxidative enzymes synthesised from the mushrooms that were growing on it (Phan & Sabaratnam, 2012). SMS may be a potential source of ligninolytic enzymes for delignification of lignocellulose materials. The Solid-State Fermentation (SSF) process provides an environment similar to the natural habitat of fungi and helps to enhance fungi growth and increase their metabolic activities (Asgher et al., 2016). Culturing ligninolytic fungi by SSF process will, therefore, help to increase the rate of delignification and enzyme production.

Tał	ole	3
140	10	2

Microorganism	Amount of Crude Enzyme (ml)	Substrate	Incubation Time (h)	Delignification Effect	Reference
Ganoderma lucidum	25	Wheat straw	48	39.6% delignification	(Asgher et al., 2014)
Schizophyllum commune IBL-06	25	Banana stalk	48	61.7% delignification	(Asgher et al., 2016)
		Corn cob		47.5% delignification	
		Sugarcane bagasse		72.3% delignification	
		Wheat straw		67.2% delignification	
Coprinus comatus	100	Corn stover	72	$41 \pm 1.6\%$ delignification	(Ma & Ruan, 2015)
Trichoderma reesei	100			$0.5 \pm 0.2\%$ delignification	
<i>C. comatus & T. reesei</i> (1:1)	100			$45.1 \pm 0.7\%$ delignification	
P. ostreatus IBL-02	25	Sugarcane bagasse	48	33.5% delignification	(Asgher et al., 2013)

CONCLUSION

Biological pre-treatment is a mild process that is less costly and eco-friendly and it consumes less energy compared to other pre-treatment processes. Ligninolytic fungi or enzymes are used for biological pre-treatment. Ligninolytic enzyme pre-treatment has been identified as a potential alternative process to overcome the drawbacks of microbial pre-treatment including long pre-treatment time as well as utilisation of sugars in some cases. However, inadequate production of ligninolytic enzymes coupled with low activity has been reported as an important factor impeding the use of ligninolytic enzymes in biotechnology. The biological pre-treatment processes are continuously optimised by varying the culture conditions to enhance microbial growth as well as enzyme production and activities. Despite the different optimisation processes of biological pre-treatment, an extremely effective biological pre-treatment technique with satisfactory level of delignification is yet to be established.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

This research was partially supported by the Naresuan Research Fund in the Fiscal year 2015-2016 (R2559C144). The authors are very grateful to the Naresuan University International Students Scholarship Scheme for funding to study a PhD programme at the Department of Biology, Faculty of Science, Naresuan University, Thailand.

REFERENCES

- Arora, A., Priya, S., Sharma, P., Sharma, S., & Nain, L. (2016). Evaluating biological pretreatment as a feasible methodology for ethanol production from paddy straw. *Biocatalysis and Agricultural Biotechnology*, *8*, 66–72. doi:10.1016/j. bcab.2016.08.006
- Asgher, M., Ahmad, Z., & Iqbal, H. M. N. (2013). Alkali and enzymatic delignification of sugarcane bagasse to expose cellulose polymers for saccharification and bio-ethanol production. *Industrial Crops and Products*, 44, 488–495. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j. indcrop.2012.10.005
- Asgher, M., Bashir, F., & Iqbal, H. M. N. (2014). A comprehensive ligninolytic pretreatment approach from lignocellulose green biotechnology to produce bio-ethanol. *Chemical Engineering Research and Design*, 92(8), 1571–1578. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j. cherd.2013.09.003
- Asgher, M., Wahab, A., Bilal, M., & Nasir Iqbal, H. M. (2016). Lignocellulose degradation and production of lignin modifying enzymes by *Schizophyllum commune* IBL-06 in solid-state fermentation. *Biocatalysis and Agricultural Biotechnology, 6*, 195–201. doi:http://dx.doi. org/10.1016/j.bcab.2016.04.003

- Aver, K. R., Scortegagna, A. Z., Fontana, R. C., & Camassola, M. (2014). Saccharification of ionic-liquid-pretreated sugar cane bagasse using *Penicillium echinulatum* enzymes. *Journal of the Taiwan Institute of Chemical Engineers*, 45(5), 2060–2067. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j. jtice.2014.04.017
- Berlowska, J., Cieciura, W., Borowski, S., Dudkiewicz, M., Binczarski, M., Witonska, I., & Kregiel, D. (2016). Simultaneous saccharification and fermentation of sugar beet pulp with mixed bacterial cultures for lactic acid and propylene glycol production. *Molecules*, 21(10), 1380-1393. doi:10.3390/molecules21101380
- Cardoen, D., Joshi, P., Diels, L., Sarma, P. M., & Pant, D. (2015). Agriculture biomass in India: Part 1. Estimation and characterization. *Resources Conservation and Recycling*, 102, 39-48. doi:10.1016/j.resconrec.2015.06.003
- Castoldi, R., Bracht, A., de Morais, G. R., Baesso, M. L., Correa, R. C. G., Peralta, R. A., & Peralta, R. M. (2014). Biological pretreatment of *Eucalyptus grandis* sawdust with white-rot fungi: Study of degradation patterns and saccharification kinetics. *Chemical Engineering Journal*, 258, 240-246. doi:10.1016/j.cej.2014.07.090
- Chang, Y. C., Choi, D., Takamizawa, K., & Kikuchi, S. (2014). Isolation of *Bacillus* sp. strains capable of decomposing alkali lignin and their application in combination with lactic acid bacteria for enhancing cellulase performance. *Bioresource Technology*, 152, 429–436. doi:http://dx.doi. org/10.1016/j.biortech.2013.11.032
- Chen, Q., Marshall, M. N., Geib, S. M., Tien, M., & Richard, T. L. (2012). Effects of laccase on lignin depolymerization and enzymatic hydrolysis of ensiled corn stover. *Bioresource Technology*, 117, 186–192. doi:10.1016/j.biortech.2012.04.085

- Chen, Y., Fan, H., & Meng, F. (2016). Pleurotus ostreatus decreases cornstalk lignin content, potentially improving its suitability for animal feed. Journal of the Science of Food and Agriculture, 97(5), 1592-1598. n/a-n/a. doi:10.1002/jsfa.7907
- Cianchetta, S., Di Maggio, B., Burzi, P. L., & Galletti, S. (2014). Evaluation of selected white-rot fungal isolates for improving the sugar yield from wheat straw. *Applied Biochemistry and Biotechnology*, 173(2), 609–623. doi:10.1007/ s12010-014-0869-3
- Daâssi, D., Zouari-Mechichi, H., Belbahri, L., Barriuso, J., Martínez, M. J., Nasri, M., & Mechichi, T. (2016). Phylogenetic and metabolic diversity of Tunisian forest wood-degrading fungi: A wealth of novelties and opportunities for biotechnology. *3 Biotech*, 6(1), 46. doi:10.1007/ s13205-015-0356-8
- Duangporn, P., & Siripong, P. (2015). Selection of ligninolytic basidiomycetes fungi from a dry dipterocarp forest in Thailand. *Australian Journal of Basic and Applied Sciences*, 9(20), 210–219.
- Gai, Y. P., Zhang, W. T., Mu, Z. M., & Ji, X. L. (2014). Involvement of ligninolytic enzymes in degradation of wheat straw by *Trametes trogii*. *Journal of Applied Microbiology*, 117(1), 85–95. doi:10.1111/jam.12529
- Galbe, M., & Zacchi, G. (2012). Pretreatment: The key to efficient utilization of lignocellulosic materials. *Biomass and Bioenergy*, 46, 70–78. doi:10.1016/j.biombioe.2012.03.026
- Gao, J., Zhang, A. P., Lam, S. K., Zhang, X. S., Thomson, A. M., Lin, E., & Zhou, S. (2016).
 An integrated assessment of the potential of agricultural and forestry residues for energy production in China. *Global Change Biology Bioenergy*, 8(5), 880–893. doi:10.1111/ gcbb.12305

- Garcia-Torreiro, M., Lopez-Abelairas, M., Lu-Chau, T. A., & Lema, J. M. (2016). Fungal pretreatment of agricultural residues for bioethanol production. *Industrial Crops and Products*, 89, 486–492. doi:10.1016/j.indcrop.2016.05.036
- Ghorbani, F., Karimi, M., Biria, D., Kariminia, H. R., & Jeihanipour, A. (2015). Enhancement of fungal delignification of rice straw by *Trichoderma viride* sp. to improve its saccharification. *Biochemical Engineering Journal*, 101, 77–84. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.bej.2015.05.005
- Guerriero, G., Hausman, J. F., Strauss, J., Ertan, H., & Siddiqui, K. S. (2016). Lignocellulosic biomass: Biosynthesis, degradation, and industrial utilization. *Engineering in Life Sciences*, 16(1), 1–16.
- Hatakka, A., & Hammel, K. E. (2010). Fungal biodegradation of lignocelluloses. In M. Hofrichter (Ed.), *Industrial applications* (2nd ed., pp. 319–340). Berlin: Springer.
- He, Y., Zhang, J., & Bao, J. (2014). Dry dilute acid pretreatment by co-currently feeding of corn stover feedstock and dilute acid solution without impregnation. *Bioresource Technology*, 158, 360–364. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j. biortech.2014.02.074
- Hideno, A., Kawashima, A., Endo, T., Honda, K., & Morita, M. (2013). Ethanol-based organosolv treatment with trace hydrochloric acid improves the enzymatic digestibility of Japanese cypress (*Chamaecyparis obtusa*) by exposing nanofibers on the surface. *Bioresource Technology*, *13*2, 64–70. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j. biortech.2013.01.048
- Horisawa, S., Ando, H., Ariga, O., & Sakuma, Y. (2015). Direct ethanol production from cellulosic materials by consolidated biological processing using the wood rot fungus *Schizophyllum commune*. *Bioresource Technology*, 197, 37–41. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j. biortech.2015.08.031

- Hyeon, J. E., You, S. K., Kang, D. H., Ryu, S. H., Kim, M., Lee, S. S., & Han, S. O. (2014). Enzymatic degradation of lignocellulosic biomass by continuous process using laccase and cellulases with the aid of scaffoldin for ethanol production. *Process Biochemistry*, 49(8), 1266–1273. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j. procbio.2014.05.004
- Ishola, M. M., & Taherzadeh, M. J. (2014). Effect of fungal and phosphoric acid pretreatment on ethanol production from oil palm empty fruit bunches (OPEFB). *Bioresource Technology*, 165, 9–12. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j. biortech.2014.02.053
- Jenkins, B. (2014). Global agriculture Industrial feedstocks for energy and materials. *Encyclopedia* of Agriculture and Food Systems, 3, 461–498.
- Kamei, I., Hirota, Y., & Meguro, S. (2012). Integrated delignification and simultaneous saccharification and fermentation of hard wood by a whiterot fungus, *Phlebia* sp. MG-60. *Bioresource Technology*, *126*, 137–141. doi:http://dx.doi. org/10.1016/j.biortech.2012.09.007
- Kim, D., Ximenes, E. A., Nichols, N. N., Cao, G., Frazer, S. E., & Ladisch, M. R. (2016). Maleic acid treatment of biologically detoxified corn stover liquor. *Bioresource Technology*, 216, 437–445. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j. biortech.2016.05.086
- Kristiani, A., Abimanyu, H., Setiawan, A. H., Sudiyarmanto, & Aulia, F. (2013). Effect of pretreatment process by using diluted acid to characteristic of oil palm's frond. *Energy Procedia*, 32, 183–189. doi:http://dx.doi. org/10.1016/j.egypro.2013.05.024

- Larran, A., Jozami, E., Vicario, L., Feldman, S. R., Podestá, F. E., & Permingeat, H. R. (2015). Evaluation of biological pretreatments to increase the efficiency of the saccharification process using *Spartina argentinensis* as a biomass resource. *Bioresource Technology*, 194, 320–325. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j. biortech.2015.06.150
- Lemée, L., Kpogbemabou, D., Pinard, L., Beauchet, R., & Laduranty, J. (2012). Biological pretreatment for production of lignocellulosic biofuel. *Bioresource Technology*, 117, 234–241. doi:10.1016/j.biortech.2012.04.056
- Lewandowska, M., Szymańska, K., Kordala, N., Dąbrowska, A., Bednarski, W., & Juszczuk, A. (2016). Evaluation of Mucor indicus and Saccharomyces cerevisiae capability to ferment hydrolysates of rape straw and Miscanthus giganteus as affected by the pretreatment method. Bioresource Technology, 212, 262–270. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j. biortech.2016.04.063
- Lopez-Abelairas, M., Lu-Chau, T. A., & Lema, J. M. (2013a). Enhanced saccharification of biologically pretreated wheat straw for ethanol production. *Applied Biochemistry and Biotechnology*, 169(4), 1147–1159. doi:10.1007/ s12010-012-0054-5
- Lopez-Abelairas, M., Lu-Chau, T. A., & Lema, J. M. (2013b). Fermentation of biologically pretreated wheat straw for ethanol production: Comparison of fermentative microorganisms and process configurations. *Applied Biochemistry and Biotechnology*, 170(8), 1838–1852. doi:10.1007/ s12010-013-0318-8

- Lopez-Abelairas, M., Pallin, M. A., Salvachua, D., Lu-Chau, T., Martinez, M. J., & Lema, J. M. (2013). Optimisation of the biological pretreatment of wheat straw with white-rot fungi for ethanol production. *Bioprocess and Biosystems Engineering*, 36(9), 1251–1260. doi:10.1007/s00449-012-0869-z
- Ma, K., & Ruan, Z. (2015). Production of a lignocellulolytic enzyme system for simultaneous bio-delignification and saccharification of corn stover employing co-culture of fungi. *Bioresource Technology*, 175, 586–593. doi:http://dx.doi. org/10.1016/j.biortech.2014.10.161
- Mann, J., Markham, J. L., Peiris, P., Spooner-Hart, R. N., Holford, P., & Nair, N. G. (2015). Use of olive mill wastewater as a suitable substrate for the production of laccase by *Cerrena consors*. *International Biodeterioration and Biodegradation*, 99, 138–145. doi:http://dx.doi. org/10.1016/j.ibiod.2015.01.010
- Maurya, D. P., Singla, A., & Negi, S. (2015). An overview of key pretreatment processes for biological conversion of lignocellulosic biomass to bioethanol. *3 Biotech*, 5(5), 597–609. doi:10.1007/s13205-015-0279-4
- Nair, R. B., Lundin, M., Brandberg, T., Lennartsson, P. R., & Taherzadeh, M. J. (2015). Dilute phosphoric acid pretreatment of wheat bran for enzymatic hydrolysis and subsequent ethanol production by edible fungi *Neurospora intermedia*. *Industrial Crops and Products*, 69, 314-323. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j. indcrop.2015.02.038
- Nieves, D. C., Ruiz, H. A., de Cárdenas, L. Z., Alvarez, G. M., Aguilar, C. N., Ilyina, A., & Martínez Hernández, J. L. (2016). Enzymatic hydrolysis of chemically pretreated mango stem bark residues at high solid loading. *Industrial Crops and Products*, *83*, 500–508. doi:http:// dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.indcrop.2015.12.079

- Ninomiya, K., Yamauchi, T., Kobayashi, M., Ogino, C., Shimizu, N., & Takahashi, K. (2013). Cholinium carboxylate ionic liquids for pretreatment of lignocellulosic materials to enhance subsequent enzymatic saccharification. *Biochemical Engineering Journal*, 71, 25–29. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.bej.2012.11.012
- Oke, M. A., Annuar, M. S. M., & Simarani, K. (2016). Mixed feedstock approach to lignocellulosic ethanol production – Prospects and limitations. *BioEnergy Research*, 9(4), 1189–1203. doi:10.1007/s12155-016-9765-8
- Okeke, B. C., Hall, R. W., Nanjundaswamy, A., Thomson, M. S., Deravi, Y., Sawyer, L., & Prescott, A. (2015). Selection and molecular characterization of cellulolytic-xylanolytic fungi from surface soil-biomass mixtures from Black Belt sites. *Microbiological Research*, 175, 24–33. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j. micres.2015.03.001
- Phan, C. W., & Sabaratnam, V. (2012). Potential uses of spent mushroom substrate and its associated lignocellulosic enzymes. *Applied Microbiology* and Biotechnology, 96(4), 863–873. doi:10.1007/ s00253-012-4446-9
- Pinto, P. A., Dias, A. A., Fraga, I., Marques, G., Rodrigues, M. A. M., Colaco, J., & Bezerra, R. M. F. (2012). Influence of ligninolytic enzymes on straw saccharification during fungal pretreatment. *Bioresource Technology*, 111, 261–267. doi:10.1016/j.biortech.2012.02.068
- Placido, J., Imam, T., & Capareda, S. (2013). Evaluation of ligninolytic enzymes, ultrasonication and liquid hot water as pretreatments for bioethanol production from cotton gin trash. *Bioresource Technology*, 139, 203–208. doi:10.1016/j. biortech.2013.04.012

- Rastogi, S., Soni, R., Kaur, J., & Soni, S. K. (2016). Unravelling the capability of *Pyrenophora phaeocomes* S-1 for the production of ligno-hemicellulolytic enzyme cocktail and simultaneous bio-delignification of rice straw for enhanced enzymatic saccharification. *Bioresource Technology*, 222, 458–469. doi:http://dx.doi. org/10.1016/j.biortech.2016.10.012
- Saha, B. C., Kennedy, G. J., Qureshi, N., & Cotta, M. A. (2016). Biological pretreatment of corn stover with *Phlebia brevispora* NRRL-13108 for enhanced enzymatic hydrolysis and efficient ethanol production. *Biotechnology Progress*, 33(2), 365-374. n/a-n/a. doi:10.1002/btpr.2420
- Saha, B. C., Qureshi, N., Kennedy, G. J., & Cotta, M. A. (2016). Biological pretreatment of corn stover with white-rot fungus for improved enzymatic hydrolysis. *International Biodeterioration and Biodegradation*, 109, 29–35. doi:10.1016/j. ibiod.2015.12.020
- Saini, J. K., Saini, R., & Tewari, L. (2015). Lignocellulosic agriculture wastes as biomass feedstocks for second-generation bioethanol production: Concepts and recent developments. *3 Biotech*, 5(4), 337–353. doi:10.1007/s13205-014-0246-5
- Salvachúa, D., Prieto, A., Vaquero, M. E., Martínez, Á. T., & Martínez, M. J. (2013). Sugar recoveries from wheat straw following treatments with the fungus Irpex lacteus. *Bioresource Technology*, *131*, 218–225. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j. biortech.2012.11.089
- Saritha, M., Arora, A., & Nain, L. (2012). Pretreatment of paddy straw with *Trametes hirsuta* for improved enzymatic saccharification. *Bioresource Technology*, 104, 459–465. doi:http://dx.doi. org/10.1016/j.biortech.2011.10.043

- Saritha, M., Arora, A., Singh, S., & Nain, L. (2013). Streptomyces griseorubens mediated delignification of paddy straw for improved enzymatic saccharification yields. Bioresource Technology, 135, 12–17. doi:http://dx.doi. org/10.1016/j.biortech.2012.11.040
- Schilling, J. S., Ai, J., Blanchette, R. A., Duncan, S. M., Filley, T. R., & Tschirner, U. W. (2012). Lignocellulose modifications by brown rot fungi and their effects, as pretreatments, on cellulolysis. *Bioresource Technology*, *116*, 147–-154. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j. biortech.2012.04.018
- Searle, S. Y., & Malins, C. J. (2016). Waste and residue availability for advanced biofuel production in EU member states. *Biomass and Bioenergy*, 89, 2–10. doi:10.1016/j.biombioe.2016.01.008
- Serna, L. V. D., Toro, J. C. S., Loaiza, S. S., Perez, Y. C., & Alzate, C. A. C. (2016). Agricultural waste management through energy producing biorefineries: The Colombian case. *Waste* and Biomass Valorization, 7(4), 789–798. doi:10.1007/s12649-016-9576-3
- Shi, J., Chinn, M. S., & Sharma-Shivappa, R. R. (2014). Interactions between fungal growth, substrate utilization, and enzyme production during solid substrate cultivation of *Phanerochaete chrysosporium* on cotton stalks. *Bioprocess and Biosystems Engineering*, 37(12), 2463–2473. doi:10.1007/s00449-014-1224-3
- Siripong, P., Duangporn, P., Takata, E., & Tsutsumi, Y. (2016). Phosphoric acid pretreatment of *Achyranthes aspera* and *Sida acuta* weed biomass to improve enzymatic hydrolysis. *Bioresource Technology*, 203, 303–308. doi:http://dx.doi. org/10.1016/j.biortech.2015.12.037

- Steffien, D., Aubel, I., & Bertau, M. (2014). Enzymatic hydrolysis of pre-treated lignocellulose with Penicillium verruculosum cellulases. *Journal of Molecular Catalysis B: Enzymatic,* 103, 29-35. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j. molcatb.2013.11.004
- Tian, J. H., Pourcher, A. M., & Peu, P. (2016). Isolation of bacterial strains able to metabolize lignin and lignin-related compounds. *Letters in Applied Microbiology*, 63(1), 30–37. doi:10.1111/ lam.12581
- Tian, X. F., Fang, Z., & Guo, F. (2012). Impact and prospective of fungal pre-treatment of lignocellulosic biomass for enzymatic hydrolysis. *Biofuels, Bioproducts and Biorefining*, 6(3), 335–350.
- Wang, F. Q., Xie, H., Chen, W., Wang, E. T., Du, F. G., & Song, A. D. (2013). Biological pretreatment of corn stover with ligninolytic enzyme for high efficient enzymatic hydrolysis. *Bioresource Technology*, 144, 572–578. doi:http://dx.doi. org/10.1016/j.biortech.2013.07.012
- Wang, W., Yuan, T., & Cui, B. (2014). Biological pretreatment with white rot fungi and their coculture to overcome lignocellulosic recalcitrance for improved enzymatic digestion. *BioResources*, 9(3), 3968–3976.

- Wen, B., Yuan, X., Li, Q. X., Liu, J., Ren, J., Wang, X., & Cui, Z. (2015). Comparison and evaluation of concurrent saccharification and anaerobic digestion of Napier grass after pretreatment by three microbial consortia. *Bioresource Technology*, 175, 102–111. doi:http://dx.doi. org/10.1016/j.biortech.2014.10.043
- Wong, D. W. S. (2009). Structure and Action Mechanism of Ligninolytic Enzymes. Applied Biochemistry and Biotechnology, 157(2), 174– 209. doi:10.1007/s12010-008-8279-z
- Zhao, L., Cao, G. L., Wang, A. J., Ren, H. Y., Dong, D., Liu, Z. N., Ren, N. Q. (2012). Fungal pretreatment of cornstalk with *Phanerochaete chrysosporium* for enhancing enzymatic saccharification and hydrogen production. *Bioresource Technology*, *114*, 365–369. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j. biortech.2012.03.076
- Zhu, J. (2011). Physical pretreatment woody biomass sizereduction – for forest biorefinery. Sustainable production of fuels, chemicals, and fibers from forest biomass, 1067, 89–107.